

App. No. 10/656,024  
Amdt. Dated August 10, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of June 6, 2005  
Atty. Dkt. No. 7719-115

**Amendment to the Claims:**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

**Listing of Claims:**

1. (Canceled)
2. (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 8, wherein said control signal is a reset signal for resetting the given one of the computer units.
3. (Original): A method according to claim 2, wherein said control signal is indicative of either controlling the power to the given one of the computer units or requesting it to trigger a reset mode of operation.
4. (Canceled)
5. (Canceled)
6. (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 8, wherein said control signal is a reset signal generated when the condition is determined to be outside of the predetermined limits.

App. No. 10/656,024  
Amdt. Dated August 10, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of June 6, 2005  
Atty. Dkt. No. 7719-115

7. (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 8, wherein said sensing device is a temperature sensing device.

8. (Previously Presented): A method of controlling a group of computer units mounted on a rack, comprising:

receiving computer unit performance signals at a reset control module mounted on the rack;

generating a computer unit control signal in response to the receipt of the performance signal for a given computer unit;

sending the control signal to the given one of the computer units;

receiving the control signal by the given one of the computer units;

causing the operation of the given one of the computer units to be controlled in response to the receipt of the control signal;

wherein said computer unit performance signals are received from a sensing device mounted on the rack for detecting malfunctions;

determining whether the condition is within pre-determined limits; and

sending an alarm message to a remote computer to indicate that a malfunction has occurred when it is determined that the condition is outside said limits.

App. No. 10/656,024  
Amdt. Dated August 10, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of June 6, 2005  
Atty. Dkt. No. 7719-115

9. (Original): A method according to claim 8, wherein said message is sent after a predetermined time delay following the determination that the condition is outside said limits.

10. (Original): A method according to claim 9, further including repeating the sending of the alarm message after another time delay interval.

11. (Canceled)

12. (Canceled)

13. (Canceled)